FILE COPY

Office Supreme Court,
FILED
OCT 2 1929
CHARLES ELMORE CROPLEY
CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1929.

No. [redacted]riginal[redacted]

B 12 1 C

STATE OF NEW JERSEY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE CITY OF NEW YORK,

Defendant,

Motion for Leave to File a Reply, and for the Appointment of a Special Master, and Reply.

WILLIAM A. STEVENS,
Attorney-General.

DUANE E. MINARD,
Assistant Attorney-General,
Solicitors for Plaintiff.
State House, Trenton, N. J.

Arthur W. Cross, Law Printer, 55-57 Lafayette Street, Newark, N. J.

INDEX

	Page
Notice	1
Motion for Leave to File a Reply and for the Appointment of a Special Master	2
Reply	3

Notice.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.

October Term, 1929.

No. 18, Original.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE CITY OF NEW YORK,

Defendant,

NOTICE.

TO:

Hon. Arthur J. W. Hilly, Corporation Counsel,
Solicitor for Defendant.

SIR:

Please be advised that on Monday, October 7, 1929, the plaintiff will apply to the Court for leave to file its reply, a copy of which is annexed hereto, and for the appointment of a Special Master.

WILLIAM A. STEVENS,
Attorney-General.

DUANE E. MINARD,
Assistant Attorney-General,
Solicitors for Plaintiff.

Dated October 25, 1929.

Service hereof is acknowledged this 26th day of September, 1929.

ARTHUR J. W. HILLY,
Solicitor for Defendant.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.

October Term, 1929.

No. 18, Original.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE CITY OF NEW YORK,

Defendant,

IN EQUITY.

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A REPLY AND FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A SPECIAL MASTER.

Comes now the State of New Jersey, by its Attorney-General, and asks leave of the Court to file its reply, herewith submitted, and also for the appointment of a Special Master to take testimony and prepare and file his findings, with recommendations for a decree herein.

WILLIAM A. STEVENS,
Attorney-General.

DUANE E. MINARD,
Assistant Attorney-General,
Solicitors for Plaintiff.

October 7, 1929.

Reply.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES.

October Term, 1929.

No. 18, Orig nal.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE CITY OF NEW YORK,

Defendant,

IN EQUITY.

REPLY.

The plaintiff joins issue on the answer of the defendant.

WILLIAM A. STEVENS,
Attorney-General.

DUANE E. MINARD,
Assistant Attorney-General,
Solicitors for Plaintiff.

FILE COPY

Office Supreme Court, U. S.
FILED
OCT 7 1929
CHARLES ELMORE CROPLEY
CLERK

In the Supreme Court of the United States,

OCTOBER TERM, 1929.

No. [redacted] ORIGINAL [redacted] 10 13 Orig.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY,
Plaintiff,
against
THE CITY OF NEW YORK,
Defendant.

DEFENDANT'S MEMORANDUM ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A SPECIAL MASTER AND FOR OTHER RELIEF.

The defendant does not oppose the plaintiff's application for the appointment of a Special Master herein, if, upon the papers previously filed in this cause, the Court deems it advisable to appoint a Master herein.

The defendant does however urge that the Master, who may be appointed, should not be a citizen or resident of either the plaintiff or the defendant.

Respectfully submitted,

ARTHUR J. W. HILLY,
Corporation Counsel,
Solicitor for Defendant.

J. JOSEPH LILLY.